MONTANA SENATE 2007 LEGISLATURE

ROLL CALL

TAXATION

DATE /-5-07

NAMES	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SEN. JERRY BLACK (R)			
SEN. JEFF ESSMANN (R)			
SEN. KELLY GEBHARDT(R)	1/		
SEN. KIM GILLAN (D)	V/		
SEN. DAN HARRINGTON (D)			MARANA
SEN. CHRISTINE KAUFMANN (D)	1		
SEN. SAM KITZENBERG (D)	V		
SEN. JIM PETERSON (R)			
SEN. TRUDI SCHMIDT (D)		-	
SEN. ROBERT STORY (R)			
SEN. JIM ELLIOTT (D) CHAIRMAN			
LEE HEIMAN, LSD			
LOIS O'CONNOR, COMMITTEE SECRETARY	V		
			Ì



SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 8, 2007 Page 1 of 1

Mr. President:

We, your committee on Taxation recommend that Senate Bill 21 (first reading copy -- white) do

pass as amended.

Signed

Senator Jim Elliott, Chair

And, that such amendments read:

1. Page 1, line 13.

Strike: "To"

Insert: "Subject to 15-10-420, to"

Strike: "as authorized under 15-10-425"

- END -

H



SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 8, 2007 Page 1 of 1

Mr. President:

We, your committee on Taxation recommend that Senate Bill 88 (first reading copy -- white) do

pass.

Signed:

Senator Jim Elliott, Chair

- END -

K

MONTANA STATE SENATE 2007 LEGISLATURE

VISITOR REGISTER

TAXATION

BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY SB-2 SB-61 SB-88

PLEASE PRINT

NAME	PHONE	REPRESENTING	BILL#	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
ANDY POOLE	841-2700	Commerce	88		
Jul Fost	324-3741	My Veterns Attans	2-1		
I have Methyl	444-5817	DOR	61		
Brian Olsen	144-2994	DOR	61		
JimTACOBSEN	449-7233	AMERICAN LEGION	21		
TOW BICODEAG		MEA : MYT	61		V
MOSANA SKELTON	422-5716	MONT Herrtuge Comm	88		
Shery wood	444-4360	MACO	21	4	
Diane Rice	580-1447	HD 71	88	\ \ \	
muhilman	449-3770	33 MT History Foltn.	88	7	
Paul (Reichert	443-2081	MT. Heritage Fool	88		
marty TVTL	841-2706	Commerce	88	V	
Bets Bounds	Jul-8172	Compen	28	V	
Non moch	628-6534	50 29	2/	1	
Ed BARTLETT	4113-6820	TRAVEL INDUSTRUBEM	88	1/	
Bill Kenned	256-2701	Vellar stra Conty	71	/	
Stuart DoggoH	439-5490	mT Innkeapers Bosco	4.4		
// //					
		·			
					· .

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY



Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

To open, right click on "Select a bill", select W	orksheet Object/Edit.	To exit, click outsic	te the spreadsheet.	
Bill # SB0061		I I I	Adopt federal standard d axes	eduction for state income
Primary Sponsor: Cobb, J.		Status: A	As Introduced	
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact ☐ Included in the Executive Budget	□ Needs to be inc □ Significant Long		☐ Technical Cor☐ Dedicated Re	cerns venue Form Attached
	FISCAL	SUMMAR	Y	
	FY 2008 Difference	FY 2009 Difference	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference
Revenues: General Fund (01)	\$ (28,639,000)	\$ (24,332,000) \$ (26,010,000)	\$ (27,336,000)
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	\$ (28,639,000)	\$ (24,332,000)	\$ (26,010,000)	\$ (27,336,000)

Description of Bill:

This bill would raise the standard deduction for Montana income tax so it was equal to the standard deduction for federal income tax. This would reduce revenue to the general fund from the individual income tax beginning in FY 2008.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. This bill would amend 15-30-122, MCA, to make the standard deduction for the Montana income tax the same as the federal standard deduction, beginning with tax year 2007. The federal standard deduction is higher than the maximum state standard deduction for all taxpayers. Thus, this change would reduce tax liability for taxpayers who choose the standard deduction, and some taxpayers who would itemize deductions under current law would find it advantageous to choose the standard deduction under this bill.
- 2. The computer model used to forecast future income tax liability was modified to reflect the changes in this bill and run with the inputs used to derive the HJR 2 forecast. The differences in calendar year tax liability for all taxpayers are shown in the following table.

Calendar Year	Difference in Tax Liability (\$ million)			
2007	-22.087			
2008	-23.827			
2009	-25.662			
2010	-26.926			
2011	-28.416			

- 3. The Department of Revenue would change withholding tables to reflect the change in tax liability, but few taxpayers would change their estimated payments because of this change in the standard deduction. However the change in withholding tables would not be done until mid-year of CY 2007, which is the beginning of FY 2008, so the January through June 2007 reduction in revenues to the state would be reflected in FY 2008 revenues. The reduction in tax liability from non-wage income for each calendar year will result in higher refunds and less tax being paid with returns filed in the spring of the next fiscal year.
- 4. About 55% of income tax is from wage and salary income, and about 45% is from other types of income.
- 5. In FY 2008, the reduction in general fund revenue will be the reduction in liability for CY 2007 plus 55% of the reduction in liability for the first half of CY 2008 or \$28.639 million (\$22.087 million + 55% x ½ x \$23.827 million).
- 6. The reduction in revenue for later years is the reduction in tax on non-wage income in the previous calendar year plus the reduction in tax on wages in the second half of the previous calendar year plus the reduction in tax on wages in the first half of the current calendar year. The reduction in general fund revenue is \$24.332 million in FY 2009, \$26.010 million in FY 2010, and \$27.336 million in FY 2011.
- 7. Changes to tax forms and processing required by this bill would be made as part of normal annual updates. The Department of Revenue would have no additional costs because of this bill.

Fiscal Impact	FY 2008 Difference	FY 2009 Difference	FY 2010 FY 2011 Difference Difference		
Revenues: General Fund (01)	\$ (28,639,000)	\$ (24.332.000)	\$ (26.010.000)	\$ (27.336.000)	

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

1. None

Long-Range Impacts:

1.	The revenue reduction	from this bill	would	continue to	grow	over time	after FY	2011.
----	-----------------------	----------------	-------	-------------	------	-----------	----------	-------

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date



Fiscal Note - 2009 Biennium

			A 11	16.1
		Title:	Allow counties to establish and cemeteries	i rund veterans
Primary Sponsor: Larson, L.		Status:	As Introduced	
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact	☐ Include in HB 2	}	☐ Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in the Executive Budg	et 🔲 Significant Long	g-Term Impacts	☐ Dedicated Revenue	Form Attached
	FISCAL	SUMMARY		
Description of Fiscal Impact:				
	TT/2000	TT7.2000	TW/ 2010	ESZ 2011
	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Difference
Two address of	<u>Difference</u>	Difference	<u>Difference</u>	Difference
Expenditures: General Fund	\$0		\$0 \$0	. \$0
Ocietai i uiu	ender de la verte de la ΦU La verte de la		φ υ	•
Revenue:				

FISCAL ANALYSIS

\$0

Assumptions:

General Fund

1. This bill has no fiscal impact to the state.

Net Impact-General Fund Balance:

<u>) C</u> Date

Budget Director's Initials

\$0

2//**\$**/0 Date

\$0

\$0

\$0